

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

DENNIS MONTGOMERY and the
MONTGOMERY FAMILY TRUST,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ETREPPID TECHNOLOGIES, LLC;
WARREN TREPP; and the UNITED
STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE,

Defendants.

AND ALL RELATED MATTERS.

3:06-CV-00056-PMP-VPC
BASE FILE

3:06-CV-00145-PMP-VPC

ORDER

On April 1, 2008, the Honorable Valerie P. Cooke, United States Magistrate Judge, entered a Report and Recommendation (Doc. #521) recommending that Defendant eTreppid Technologies, LLC's Motion for Sanctions (Doc. #343) be denied. Magistrate Judge Cooke's Report and Recommendation (Doc. #521) also recommended denial of the Montgomery Parties Objections to Defendant's Evidence in Support of Motion for Sanctions (Doc. #394) and the Montgomery Parties' Objections (Doc. #411) to Supplemental Declarations filed on behalf of eTreppid.


Timely objections were filed to Magistrate Judge Cooke's Report and Recommendation by Defendant eTreppid (Doc. #539) on April 17, 2008, and Montgomery Parties' filed a Response to Defendant's Objections (Doc. #579) on May 5, 2008.

///

1 In accord with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636, and Local Rule IB 3-2, the
2 Court has conducted a de novo review of the proceedings before Magistrate Judge Cooke
3 which resulted in the entry of the Report and Recommendation (Doc. #521) entered April 1,
4 2008. This Court concurs in the findings of Magistrate Judge Cooke and concludes that
5 Defendant eTrepid's objections (Doc. #539) should be overruled and the recommendations
6 of Magistrate Judge Cooke (Doc. #521) should be affirmed.

7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8
9 DATED: May 19, 2008.

10
11 

12 PHILIP M. PRO
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26